

the men in the car yelled anti-gay obscenities at the victim. The victim entered the store with two friends, and upon exiting, was beaten by the assailant. The assailant yelled anti-gay epithets while punching and kicking the victim, continuing the beating even after the victim fell to the ground.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

21ST CENTURY DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS AUTHORIZATION ACT

Mr. LEAHY. Madam President, I thank the Senate for voting to end debate and to pass the bipartisan 21st Century Department of Justice Authorization Act conference report. I commend the Majority Leader for bringing this important legislation the floor and filing cloture in order for the Senate to take final action on the conference report.

I regret that consideration and a vote on final passage on this important measure was delayed, but I thank the overwhelming majority of my colleagues for supporting cloture and passage of the conference report.

This measure was passed by the House, by a vote of 400 to 4, last Thursday. All Democrats were prepared to pass the conference report that same day last week and any day this week. Given the Republicans' objection to proceed to a vote and given the refusal to agree to a time agreement, the Majority Leader was required to file cloture. I am glad that the filibuster is over.

This legislation is truly bipartisan. It passed the House 400 to 4. The conference report was signed by every conferee, Republican or Democrat, including Senator HATCH and Representatives SENSENBRENNER, HYDE, and LAMAR SMITH.

Senators from both sides of the aisle spoke in favor of the legislation. In particular, I thank Senator HUTCHISON for coming to the floor on Tuesday to support this conference report. Senator HUTCHISON has spoken to me many times about the need for more judgeships along the Texas border with Mexico to handle immigration and criminal cases.

The conference report includes three new judgeships in the conference report for Texas, one more than was included in the bill reported to the Senate by the Senate Judiciary Committee and passed by the Senate last December.

I thank Senator SESSIONS for his statements on Tuesday and today in support of this bipartisan conference report.

Although he opposes Senator HATCH's legislation regarding automobile dealer

arbitration, which enjoys more than 60 Senate cosponsors and 200 House cosponsors and was included in the conference report, Senator SESSIONS is supporting this conference report because it will improve the Department of Justice and support local law enforcement agencies across the nation. I appreciate Senator SESSIONS' work on the provisions in the conference report on the Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grants and the Centers for Domestic Preparedness in Alabama and other States.

Senator BROWBACK also spoke in favor of certain immigration provisions in this bill that he worked on with Senator KENNEDY, the Chairman of the Immigration Subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee. In particular, the conference report includes language sought by Senators CONRAD and BROWBACK to reauthorize the program allowing foreign doctors educated in the United States to remain here if they will practice in underserved communities. This is a crucial provision to ensure that residents in some of our most rural states receive adequate medical care.

The conference report also contains another important immigration provision to permit H-1B aliens who have labor certification applications caught in lengthy agency backlogs to extend their status beyond the sixth year limitation or, if they have already exceeded such limitation, to have a new H-1B petition approved so they can apply for an H-1B visa to return from abroad or otherwise re-obtain H-1B status. Either a labor certification application or a petition must be filed at least 365 days prior to the end of the 6th year in order for the alien to be eligible under this section.

The slight modification to existing law made by this section is necessary to avoid the disruption of important projects caused by the sudden loss of valued employees. At a time when our economy is weak, this provision is intended to help. I thank Senator KENNEDY and Senator BROWBACK for their work on this provision and their contributions to the conference report. I thank Senator FEINSTEIN for her excellent speech earlier this week in support of this conference report. Senator FEINSTEIN has been a tireless advocate for the needs of California, including the needs of the federal judiciary along the southern border. She has led the effort to increase judicial and law enforcement resources along our southern border. I am proud to have served as the chair of the House-Senate conference committee that unanimously reported a bill that includes five judgeships for the Southern District of California. Long overdue relief for the Southern District of California could be on the way once this conference report is adopted.

Senator BIDEN also contributed a great deal to this conference report. He has fought doggedly to authorize a new Violence Against Women Office at the

Justice Department, and his efforts have borne fruit in this legislation. He has also been one of the Senate's best advocates for reauthorizing the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, which we do here. In addition, he was a cosponsor of the Drug Abuse Education, Prevention, and Treatment Act, and we have included many provisions from that bill in this conference report.

I also would like to thank Senator DURBIN for statements on the Senate floor and his dedicated efforts to authorize a new Violence Against Women Office, to expand the number of Boys and Girls Clubs in our nation, and to create new judgeships in Illinois.

Senator KOHL was a tremendous help in our efforts to reauthorize the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, especially Title V of that Act, which provides for crucial prevention programs for our nation's youth.

Senator CARNAHAN deserves the credit for the inclusion of the Law Enforcement Tribute Act in this conference report. That provision provides Federal assistance for local communities seeking to honor fallen law enforcement officers. Without her tireless work, we would not have been able to include that provision in this conference report.

For his part, Senator FEINGOLD was able to include his and Senator HATCH's Motor Vehicle Franchise Contract Arbitration Fairness Act in this conference report. That bill will ensure that auto dealers will have a level playing field in their disputes with the auto manufacturers.

Finally, I also thank Senator REID for his helpful comments and support throughout the debate on the legislation.

Of course, our bipartisanship is evidenced by our including authorization for additional judgeships not only in California but also in Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, Ohio, North Carolina, Illinois and Florida. I have tried to improve on the record we inherited.

In the six and one-half years that they controlled the Senate, the Republican majority was willing to add only eight judgeships to be appointed by a Democratic President, and most of those were in Texas and Arizona, States with two Republican Senators. We have, on the other hand, proceeded at our earliest opportunity to increase federal judgeships by 20, including in the border States where they are most needed, well aware these positions will be filled with appointments by a Republican President who has shown little interest in working with Democrats in the Senate. These include a number of jurisdictions with Republican Senators.

I also commend the senior Senator from California for her leadership on the "James Guelff and Chris McCurley Body Armor Act," the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program reauthorization, and the many anti-drug abuse provisions included in this conference

report. She spoke eloquently on the floor of the Senate regarding many of the important provisions she has championed in this process.

This conference report will strengthen our Justice Department and the FBI, increase our preparedness against terrorist attacks, prevent crime and drug abuse, improve our intellectual property and antitrust laws, strengthen and protect our judiciary, and offer our children a safe place to go after school.

This conference report is the product of years of bipartisan work. By my count, the conference report includes significant portions of at least 25 legislative initiatives. This legislation is neither complicated nor controversial. It passed the House overwhelmingly and in short order with a strong bipartisan vote.

I thank my colleagues again for supporting the cloture motion and final passage of this conference report so that all of this bipartisan work and all the good that this legislation will do, will reach the President's desk. I particularly want to thank Senator HATCH, who worked very hard to help construct a good, fair and balanced conference report as did all of the conferees. Likewise, I want to thank Chairman SENSENBRENNER and Representative CONYERS of the House Judiciary Committee for working with us to conclude this conference report successfully.

The staffs of these Members must also be thanked for working through the summer and over the last month to bring all the pieces of the conference report together into a winning package. In particular, the House Judiciary Committee staff has been enormously helpful, including Phil Kiko, Will Moschella, Blaine Merritt, Perry Apelbaum, Ted Kalo, Sampak Garg, Bobby Vassar, and Alec French. I would also like to thank the staff of the House Education and Workforce Committee, including Bob Sweet and Denise Forte. The Senate Judiciary Committee staff has shown its outstanding professionalism and I want to thank Bruce Cohen, Beryl Howell, Ed Pagano, Tim Lynch, Jessica Berry, Robyn Schmidek and Phil Toomajian, Makan Delrahim, Leah Belaire, Michael Volkov, Melody Barnes, Esther Olavarria, Robert Toone, Neil MacBride, and Louisa Terrell.

I appreciate that not all Members were or could be conferees and participate in the conference, but after a full opportunity to study the conference report passed last week in the House by a vote of 400 to 4, I hope that even those Members who raised objection will conclude that on the whole this is a good, solid piece of legislation.

Although the debate is over, I want to address the objections raised by a few Members to this legislation. I thank these Members for coming to the floor to discuss their views and concerns, and want to show them the respect they deserve by responding to

those objections. I should note that even in posing an objection to and delaying passage of the conference report—as is their rights as Senators—these Members acknowledged that there were parts of this bill they liked or may like upon review.

Contrary to those who may argue that this legislation is not a priority, it is. Congress has not authorized the Department of Justice in more than two decades. While the Justice Department would certainly continue to exist if we were to fail to reauthorize it, that is not an excuse for shirking our responsibility now. I know that Senator HATCH and Representatives SENSENBRENNER and CONYERS share my view. It is long past time for the Judiciary Committees of the House and Senate—and the Congress as a whole—to restore their proper oversight role over the Department of Justice.

Through Republican and Democratic administrations, we have allowed the Department of Justice to escape its accountability to the Senate and House of Representatives and through them to the American people. Congress, the people's representative, has a strong institutional interest in restoring that accountability. The House has recognized this, and has done its job. I am glad that we have done ours.

I agree with those Members who say that we need to give anti-terrorism priority, but not lose sight of the other important missions of the Department of Justice. The conference report takes such a balanced approach. Those critics who say that there is nothing new in this legislation to fight terrorism, have missed some important provisions in the legislation as well as my floor statements over the past week outlining what the conference report contains to help in the anti-terrorism effort.

Let me repeat the highlights of what the conference report does on this important problem.

The conference report fortifies our border security by authorizing over \$20 billion for the administration and enforcement of the laws relating to immigration, naturalization, and alien registration. It also authorizes funding for Centers for Domestic Preparedness in Alabama, Texas, New Mexico, Louisiana, Nevada, Vermont and Pennsylvania, and adds additional uses for grants from the Office of Domestic Preparedness to support State and local law enforcement agencies. These provisions have strong bipartisan support. I thank Senator SESSIONS, Senator SHELBY and Senator SPECTER for supporting cloture on the conference report and for final passage.

Another measure in the bill would correct a glitch in a law that helps prosecutors combat the international financing of terrorism. I worked closely with the White House to pass the original provision to bring the United States into compliance with a treaty that bans terrorist financing, but without this technical, non-controversial

change, the provision may not be usable. This law is vital in stopping the flow of money to terrorists. Worse yet, at a time when the President is going before the U.N. emphasizing that our enemies are not complying with international law, by blocking this minor fix, we leave ourselves open to a charge that we are not complying with an anti-terrorism treaty.

I agree with other Members that we should do more to help the FBI Director in transforming the FBI from a crime fighting to a terrorism prevention agency and to help the FBI overcome its information technology, management and other problems to be the best that it can be. The Judiciary Committee reported unanimously the Leahy-Grassley FBI Reform Act, S. 1974, over six months ago to reach those goals, but this legislation has been blocked by an anonymous hold from moving forward. This conference report contains parts of that bipartisan legislation, but not the whole bill, which continues to this day to be blocked to this day.

Since the attacks of September 11 and the anthrax attacks last fall, we have relied on the FBI to detect and prevent acts of catastrophic terrorism that endanger the lives of the American people and the institutions of our country. Reform and improvement at the FBI was already important, but the terrorist attacks suffered by this country last year have imposed even greater urgency on improving the FBI. The Bureau is our front line of domestic defense against terrorists. It needs to be as great as it can.

Even before those attacks, the Judiciary Committee's oversight hearings revealed serious problems at the FBI that needed strong congressional action to fix. We heard about a double standard in evaluations and discipline. We heard about record and information management problems and communications breakdowns between field offices and Headquarters that led to the belated production of documents in the Oklahoma City bombing case. Despite the fact that we have poured money into the FBI over the last five years, we heard that the FBI's computer systems were in dire need of modernization.

We heard about how an FBI supervisor, Robert Hanssen, was able to sell critical secrets to the Russians undetected for years without ever getting a polygraph. We heard that there were no fewer than 15 different areas of security at the FBI that needed fixing.

The FBI Reform Act tackles these problems with improved accountability, improved security both inside and outside the FBI, and required planning to ensure the FBI is prepared to deal with the multitude of challenges we are facing.

We are all indebted to Senator GRASSLEY for his leadership in the area. Working with Republicans and Democrats on the Senate Judiciary Committee we unanimously reported

the FBI Reform Act more than six months ago only to be stymied in our bipartisan efforts by an anonymous Republican hold.

The conference report does not contain all of the important provisions in the FBI Reform Act that Senator GRASSLEY and I, and the other members of the Judiciary Committee, agreed were needed, but it does contain parts of that other bill.

Among the items that are, unfortunately, not in the conference report and are being blocked from passing in the stand-alone FBI Reform bill by an anonymous Republican hold are the following: Title III of the FBI Reform bill that would institute a career security officer program, which senior FBI officials have testified before our Committee would be very helpful;

Title IV of the FBI Reform bill outlining the requirements for a polygraph program along the lines of what the Webster Commission recommended;

Title VII of the FBI Reform bill that takes important steps to fix some of the double standard problems and support the FBI's Office of Professional Responsibility, which FBI Ethics and OPR agents say is very important; and

Title VIII to push along implementation of secure communications networks to help facilitate FISA processing between Main Justice and the FBI. These hard-working agents and prosecutors have to hand-carry top secret FISA documents between their offices because they still lack secure e-mail systems.

The FBI Reform bill would help fix many of these problems and I would hope we would be able to pass all of the FBI Reform Act before the end of this Congress. These should not be controversial provisions and are designed to help the FBI.

During the debate on this conference report, some Members complained it included provisions that were not contained in either the Senate or House bills. Now, each of the proposals we have included are directly related to improving the administration of justice in the United States. We were asked to include many of them by Republican members of the House and Senate.

Let me give you some examples. The conference report reauthorizes the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program, which President Bush has sought to eliminate. On March 4 of this year, Senator KYL and Senator FEINSTEIN sent me a letter asking me to include an authorization for SCAAP—which was not authorized in either the House or Senate-passed bill—in the conference report. That proposal had been considered and reported by the Judiciary Committee but a Republican hold has stopped Senate consideration and passage. I agreed with Senator KYL that we should authorize SCAAP. I still believe that it is the right thing to do.

In addition to including the reauthorization of SCAAP, the conferees

also authorized an additional judge for Arizona. Members have been arguing for years that their States need more judges. We took those arguments seriously, and added another new judge for Arizona on top of the two that were added in 1998 and the third that was added in 2000. As I said before, we have added 20 additional judicial positions in this conference report.

Some have been critical of the conference report's authorization of funding for DEA police training in South and Central Asia, and for the United States-Thailand drug prosecutor exchange program. I believe that both of these are worthy programs that deserve the Senate's support.

I have listened to President Bush and others in his Administration and in Congress argue that terrorist organizations in Asia, including Al Qaeda, have repeatedly used drug proceeds to fund their operations. The conferees wanted to do whatever we could to break the link between drug trafficking and terror, and we would all greatly appreciate the Senate's assistance in that effort.

Beyond the relationship between drug trafficking and terrorism, the production of drugs in Asia has a tremendous impact on America.

For example, more than a quarter of the heroin that is plaguing the northeastern United States, including my State of Vermont, comes from Southeast Asia. Many of the governments in that region want to work with the United States to reduce the production of drugs, and these programs will help. It is beyond me why any Senator would oppose them.

Some have complained that the conference report demands too many reports from the Department of Justice and that this would interfere with the Department's ongoing counterterrorism efforts. It is true that our legislation requires a number of reports, as part of our oversight obligations over the Department of Justice. I assure the Senate, however, that if the Department of Justice comes to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees and makes a convincing case that any reporting requirement in this legislation will hinder our national security, we will work out a reasonable accommodation. I think, however, that such a turn of events is exceedingly unlikely, as no one at the Department has mentioned any such concerns.

Some Members have complained that the conference report includes pieces of legislation that had not received Committee consideration. Let me deal with some of the specific proposals that have been cited.

The Law Enforcement Tribute Act was mentioned as a provision not considered by the Judiciary Committee, but this is incorrect. In reality, the Committee reported that bill favorably on May 16. Its passage has been blocked by an anonymous Republican hold.

Complaints have been made about inclusion of the motor vehicle franchise

dispute resolution provision in the conference report for bypassing the Committee. But, again, that is incorrect. The Judiciary Committee fully considered this proposal and reported Senator HATCH's Motor Vehicle Franchise Contract Arbitration Fairness Act last October 31. It has been stalled from the Senate floor by anonymous Republican holds.

A section allowing FBI danger pay was cited as a proposal that bypassed Committee consideration, but, again, the Judiciary Committee did consider this proposal as part of the original DOJ Authorization bill, S. 1319.

Some have complained that the Federal Judiciary Protection Act, which is included in the conference report, had not come before the Committee, but on the contrary, this legislation, S. 1099, was passed the Judiciary Committee and the Senate by unanimous consent last year and in the 106th Congress, as well.

A complaint was raised on the floor about a provision on the U.S. Parole Commission being included in the conference report. That was included because the Bush Administration included it in its budget request.

A complaint was also raised about the conference report's provision establishing the FBI police to provide protection for the FBI buildings and personnel in this time of heightened concerns about terrorist attacks. Contrary to the critics, this proposal was considered by the Judiciary Committee as part of the FBI Reform Act, S. 1974, which was reported unanimously on a bipartisan basis but has been blocked by an anonymous hold.

Similarly, a complaint was made on the floor about bypassing the Committee with the provision in the conference report for the FBI to tell the Congress about how the FBI is updating its obsolete computer systems. Again, this is incorrect. This provision was included in the FBI Reform Act, S. 1974, which was considered by the Judiciary Committee and unanimously reported without objection.

Some critics have complained that the conference report includes intellectual property provisions that have passed neither the House or the Senate. It is not for lack of trying to pass these provisions through the Senate, but anonymous Republican holds have held up for months passage of the Madrid Protocol Implementation Act, S. 407. This legislation has passed the House on three separate times in three consecutive Congresses. Let us get it passed now in the conference report.

The conference report also contains another intellectual property matter, the Hatch-Leahy TEACH Act, to help distance learning. Contrary to the critics' statements, this passed the Senate in June, 2001.

The Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act, S. 320, contained in this conference report, was passed by the Senate at the beginning of this Congress,

in February, 2001. It is time to get this done.

The criticism made on the floor that the juvenile justice provisions in the conference report never passed the House or Senate is simply wrong. The conference report contains juvenile justice provisions passed by the House in September and October of last year, in H.R. 863 and H.R. 1900.

The criticism that the conference report contains criminal justice improvements that were passed by neither the House or the Senate glosses over two important points: First, that many of the provisions were indeed passed by the House, and, second, that others have been blocked from Senate consideration and passage by anonymous Republican holds. Let me give you some examples.

The conference report contains the Judicial Improvements Act, S. 2713 and HR 3892, that passed the House in July, 2002, but consideration by the Senate was blocked after the Senate bill was reported by the Judiciary Committee.

The Antitrust Technical Corrections bills, H.R. 809, had the same fate. After being passed by the House in March, 2001, and reported by the Senate Judiciary Committee, consideration was blocked in the Senate.

CONCLUSION

This conference report is a comprehensive attempt to ensure the administration of justice in our nation. It is not everything I would like or that any individual Member of Congress might have authored.

It is a conference report, a consensus document, a product of the give and take with the House that is our legislative process. It will strengthen our Justice Department and the FBI, increase our preparedness against terrorist attacks, prevent crime and drug abuse, improve our intellectual property and antitrust laws, strengthen and protect our judiciary, and offer our children a safe place to go after school.

The conference report merits the support of the United States Senate to help the Justice Department and the American people.

FY 2003 DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. SNOWE. Madam President, I rise today to speak briefly about my support for the fiscal year 2003 National Defense Authorization Conference Report and would like to particularly endorse its name as the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 in recognition of the chairman of the House Armed Services Committee's 25 years of distinguished service to that Committee.

I also acknowledge the senior Senator from Michigan, Mr. CARL LEVIN, the chairman of the Armed Services Committee, for the leadership he provided in support of the authorization bill, and, of course, the ranking member, Senator JOHN WARNER of Virginia, whose tireless efforts on behalf of vet-

erans led to the final agreements that brought this bill to the floor.

Let me recognize the efforts of every Senator on the Committee. As a former member of that committee, I well understand the long hours and persistent effort needed to move this vital bipartisan legislation.

The conference report takes great strides toward improving the quality of service for our dedicated men and women of the military, modernizing our armed services, and making our homeland safe.

Because we recognize that our service members are our most valuable asset, this legislation makes a solid investment in their quality of life by increasing pay and enhancing educational and health care opportunities for our active duty military members and their family members. And that is only right, for today we are asking a great deal of our gallant young men and women as they guard our Nation at home and abroad in this dangerous and deadly post-September 11 world.

This legislation recognizes that we also owe a continuing debt to those who have served honorably by finally granting combat-wounded military retirees the same benefit available to every other retired Federal employee—the ability to collect full retirement pay and disability entitlements without offsets. There is much work to be done before we achieve the full equity of concurrent receipt for all disabled military retirees, but as Senator WARNER has appropriately noted, we have established a “beachhead” for this issue.

I do find it regrettable, however, that the conference report does not complete the job of overturning the ban on privately funded abortion services in overseas military hospitals for military women and dependents based overseas, which was reinstated in the Fiscal Year 1996 authorization bill.

This is a ban that, without merit or reason, puts the reproductive health of these women at risk . . . a ban that the Senate voted to overturn in June by a vote of 52-40. Sadly, this is the second time that this policy change, which has been supported by the majority of the Senate, has fallen victim to the conference committee process.

This ban continues to be a threat to more than just the freedoms of American military women overseas, it's also a threat to their health because it places them at the mercy of the local health care infrastructure in whatever country that they are based. While I support this conference report, I remain deeply disappointed that the conference did not include this critical change of policy regarding this arbitrary ban.

As for modernizing our forces, let me speak on an area that is critical to the security of the Nation—shipbuilding. We are learning that in order to effectively engage the forces of terror wherever they hide, we must have the ability to project our power immediately

to any part of the globe. Today, we can do that by dispatching our forces in carrier battle groups or amphibious ready groups. However, as a former chair of the Seapower Subcommittee, I remained concerned, as I know the committee is, about the continuing decline in shipbuilding investments made by the Navy.

I note the conferees included detailed language about the Navy's ship acquisition program and completely agree with their conclusion that, without a fully vetted long range ship-building program, we will be faced with a Navy that is unable to carry out the missions assigned to them in both the short-term and the long-term.

To quote the report, “Absent more immediate investment, DOD will have to reduce the number or scope of missions assigned to Navy ships. Witnesses have testified that, if neither course is incorporated in future Navy budget programs, the men and women of the Navy and the Marine Corps will bear the burden of these decisions through some combination of longer deployments and less time at home between deployments.”

I find that very troubling indeed in these dangerous times.

Therefore, I am encouraged this legislation mandates stronger shipbuilding funding and construction in the future years. Provisions such as section 1022 that requires the Navy to submit an annual 30 year shipbuilding plan with their budget request will not only assist us in understanding the Navy's ship recapitalization plan but will ensure that the Department of Defense and Navy are committed to buying the number and type of ships necessary to fulfill all of their missions.

I am also pleased that this authorization provides \$2.4 billion for the construction of two DDG-51 *Arleigh-Burke* class destroyers and extends through fiscal year 2007 the multi-year procurement authority for that class. For it is these ships, along with cruisers and frigates, that provide protection to the carriers and amphibious ships we are deploying to the Persian Gulf to prosecute the war on terrorism. Surface combatants are the backbone of our Navy and I support section 1021 that requires the Secretary of the Navy to notify Congress should the number of active and reserve surface combatant ships drop below 116.

The legislation also looks to the future by authorizing almost \$970 million for the development of technologies to be incorporated into the next generation of surface combatant, the DD(X) land attack destroyer. Moreover, it adds \$5 million for the DDG Destroyer Optimized Manning Initiative, a Navy effort to enhance the operational effectiveness of Aegis destroyers with new technologies, policies and procedures to significantly reduce crew workload and improve readiness.

The legislation authorizes \$10.4 billion, \$376 million more than requested, for science and technology programs